

Develop Dynamic File Block Level Operations of Cloud Computing Systems

R. Obulakonda Reddy¹, R. Nagarjuna Reddy², M. Radha³, N. Sree Vani⁴, B. Srinivasulu⁵

Dept of Computer Science & Engineering in MTIET, Palamaner, AP, India^{1,4,5}

Dept of Computer Science & Engineering in RCEW, Kurnool, AP, India²

Dept of Computer Science & Engineering in RGM CET, Nandyal, AP, India³

Abstract: Now a day's many organizations are store their data in cloud computing systems, so organizations are opting for outsourcing data to remote cloud service providers (CSPs). Particularly little associations are not having that much cash for set up servers, so their exclusive open door is CSPs for putting away information in cloud. The CSP (Cloud Service Provider) give distributed storage to clients by lease premise and gather lease in light of information estimate. The Existing PDP (Provable Data Possession) conspire concentrate just on static information, once information was put away in cloud the clients won't change. The proposed Dynamic File Block level operations of Cloud Computing Systems show utilizes a Map-Based Provable Multi-Copy Dynamic Data Possession (MB-PMDDP) strategy, so it concentrate on unique information. It underpins record piece operations, for example, inclusion, adjustment, erase and annex erase at powerfully.

Keywords: Cloud service providers, provable data possession, MB-PMDDP.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing is the term used to share the resources globally with less cost .we can also called as 'IT ON DEMAND'. It provides three types of services i.e Infrastructure as a service(IaaS), Platform as a service(PaaS) and Software as a service(SaaS). End users access the cloud based applications through the web browsers with internet connection. Moving data to clouds makes more convenient and reduce to manage hardware complexities. It likewise underpins multi-duplicate procedure that is documents are put away in various servers for easy getting to and time decrease. The information proprietor has various clients who have ideal to get to the information proprietor records from various areas with the assistance of get to key.

Clients get the get to key from the proprietor by asking for if, proprietor reaction it. Information put away at mists are kept up by Cloud specialist co-ops (CSP) with different motivating forces for various levels of administrations. Be that as it may it dispenses with the duty of nearby machines to look after information, there is an opportunity to lose information or it impacts from outside or inward assaults. To keep up the information uprightness and information accessibility many individuals proposed a few calculations and techniques that empower on request information accuracy and check.

National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST) defines cloud computing is a model for enabling convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction". Today Cloud Computing is itself a gigantic technology which surpassing all the previous technology of computing of this competitive and challenging IT world. The need for cloud computing is increasing day by day as its advantages overcome the disadvantages of various early computing techniques.

Most of the organizations are opting the cloud computing to store data in cloud storage. Particularly the small organizations are storing the data in the cloud rather than their own system because the small organization does not maintain large servers. The organizations store the data or file in remote cloud server with help CSP (Cloud service provider). The CSPs are dealing with cloud servers in cloud computing, main work of Cloud service provider is providing mainly three types of services that are Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), Software as a Service (SaaS) and Platform as a Service (PaaS). In this work, we are using Infrastructure as a Service that provides virtual storage to organizations.

The data owner who maintains organization stores the data or file in the cloud. The cloud service provider (Admin) collects amount from data owner based on the data or file size. For effortless accessing and time reduction, the data



owner wants their data to be replicated in multiple servers across cloud computing systems. The data owner has a number of users who have right to access the data owner files from different locations with the help of access key. Users get the access key from the owner by requesting if, owner response it.

A provable Data Possession (PDP) scheme allows users to store files in servers in cloud computing system. A PDP scheme only focuses on static data; the data won't change in remote server. The technique Dynamic File Block level operations of Cloud Computing Systems scheme dealing with dynamic data, it allows customers to perform file block operations such as modification, insertion, deletion, and append the data at dynamically.

II PROBLEM STATEMENT

System Model:

The distributed storage framework engineering comprises of following system elements User: A substance, which performs information stockpiling and recovery operations without knowing the inward issues. Cloud Server (CS): An element, which gives information storage room and assets, required for calculations, cloud servers are overseen by cloud specialist co-ops. Outside Auditor (TPA): A discretionary Entity, however here we utilize TPA as Trusted gathering and to play out a few calculations rather than clients.

Working: In cloud information stockpiling framework, client can transfer or stores the information into cloud or utilize administrations from the cloud. Client stores information into set of cloud servers which are running in an appropriated and participated way. Information excess systems can be utilized utilizing eradication amending code to shield from flaws or server crashes. The Users can perform manipulations on stored data like insert update and append through blocks. Block level updating and deletions are allowed with token checking. If user has not having enough resources to compute tokens or required hardware support then he can easily delegate the work to a third party auditor called as TPA. He is responsible to generate homomorphism token and stores the token persistently and securely for further verification. In our scheme we assume that TPA is secure and he is responsible to protect from threats, users will pay some incentives to TPA for maintenance.

Adversary Model:

Adversary model was introduced to explore some of threats associated in this model. As we know that the data is not present at users place because data is stored at cloud servers. Inward assaults originates from the cloud servers it, these servers might be noxious and prompt byzantine disappointments and conceal a few information misfortune issues. Also outer assaults are from untouchables who are bargained the information from cloud specialist co-ops without its consent.

Untouchable attacks may incite modification of data or deleting the customers and so on which are completely secured from cloud master associations. Thusly, we consider the foe in our model to get an extensive variety of strikes both inward and external perils. Once the server is exchanged off, the data is polluted with false data and customers can't get the principal data from the fogs.

Design Goals:

Our main goal is to ensure the data integrity and security .In this paper, we aim to design

- 1) Precipitation token key generation algorithm which is simple, elegant and secures method and less overhead due to few parameters that has to be chosen.
- 2) Challenge verification scheme was designed in easy and efficient way to prevent data from byzantine server failures and data dependability detection or detect data errors on blocks.
- 3) Cloud servers ensure that the file was saved successfully without block modifications. This can be achieved by two way token checking. Architecture of cloud storage system: Fig: Cloud Storage System Architecture.

Threat Model:

The integrity of customers' data in the cloud may be at danger due to the following reasons. Firstly, the CSP whose goal is probable to make a profit and sustain a reputation has a reason to hide data loss or get back storage by removing data that has not been or is rarely accessed. Secondly, a dishonest CSP may store less copy than what has been decided upon in the service contact with the data owner, and try to induce the owner that all copies are correctly stored intact. Thirdly, to save the computational resources, the CSP may totally pay no attention to the data update requests concerned by the owner, or not execute them on all copies leading to inconsistency between the file copies. The objective of the proposed scheme is to identify the CSP misconduct by validating the number and integrity of file copies.

III. SCREEN SHOTS



Fig: Home Page



Fig: Registration Page




Fig: Owner Register



Fig: User Register



Fig: Owner Login



Fig: Upload file




Fig: Owner View files



Fig: File Modify



Fig: Owner File Download



Fig: File after Modify



Fig: User Login Page



Fig: User File View



Fig: User Request Page



Fig: Owner Response Page



Fig: Key Page




Fig: User Download a file with key



Fig: Admin Delete File




Fig: Owner Intimation



Fig: Admin message page

IV. CONCLUSION

The numerous association stores the information or document over different servers in distributed computing frameworks. The numerous duplicates put away on depended remote server, this models focus on document duplicate reliable. The proposed Implementing Dynamic File Block Level Operations of Cloud Computing Systems models support the data owner to store multiple file copies to remote servers. The main aim of CSP is to earn more money, so they can cheat the data owner. This model maintains verifier to check the file copy consistency. This technique allows users to insert, delete, modify and append blocks in particular file dynamically i.e., at remote servers.



REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ateniese et al., "Provable data possession at untrusted stores," in Proc. 14th ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. (CCS), New York, NY, USA, 2007, pp. 598–609.
- [2] Ee-Chien Chang Jia Xu, "Remote integrity check with dishonest, change, xujia}@comp.nus.edu.sg.
- [3] K. Zeng, "Publicly verifiable remote data integrity," in Proc. 10th Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Secur. (ICICS), 2008, pp. 419–434.
- [4] Y. Deswarte, J.-J. Quisquater, and A. Saïdane, "Remote integrity checking," in Proc. 6th Working Conf. Integr. Internal Control Inf. Syst. (IICIS), 2003, pp. 1–11.
- [5] D. L. G. Filho and P. S. L. M. Barreto, "Demonstrating data possession and uncheatable data transfer," IACR (International Association for Cryptologic Research) ePrint Archive, Tech. Rep. 2006/150, 2006.
- [6] E. Mykletun, M. Narasimha, and G. Tsudik, "Authentication and integrity in outsourced databases," ACM Trans. Storage, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 107–138, 2006.
- [7] G. Ateniese, R. D. Pietro, L. V. Mancini, and G. Tsudik, "Scalable and efficient provable data possession," in Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Secur. Privacy Commun. Netw. (SecureComm), New York, NY, USA, 2008, Art. ID 9.
- [8] C. Erway, A. Küpçü, C. Papamanthou, and R. Tamassia, "Dynamic provable data possession," in Proc. 16th ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. (CCS), New York, NY, USA, 2009, pp. 213–222.
- [9] Z. Hao, S. Zhong, and N. Yu, "A privacy-preserving remote data integrity checking protocol with data dynamics and public verifiability," IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng., vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1432–1437, Sep. 2011.
- [10] A. F. Barsoum and M. A. Hasan. (2010). "Provable possession and replication of data over cloud servers," Centre Appl. Cryptograph. Res., Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, USA, Tech. Rep. 2010/32. [Online].
- [11] R. Curtmola, O. Khan, R. Burns, and G. Ateniese, "MR-PDP: Multiple replica provable data possession," in Proc. 28th IEEE ICDCS, Jun. 2008, pp. 411–420.
- [12] Z. Hao and N. Yu, "A multiple-replica remote data possession checking protocol with public verifiability," in Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. Data, Privacy, E-Commerce, Sep. 2010, pp. 84–89.
- [13] H. Shacham and B. Waters, "Compact proofs of retrievability," in Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Theory Appl. Cryptol. Inf. Secur., 2008, pp. 90–107.
- [14] A. Juels and B. S. Kaliski, Jr., "Pors: Proofs of retrievability for large files," in Proc. 14th ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. (CCS), 2007, pp. 584–597.
- [15] A. F. Barsoum and M. A. Hasan. (2011). "On verifying dynamic multiple data copies over cloud servers," IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, Tech. Rep. 2011/447. [Online]. Available: <http://eprint.iacr.org/>
- [16] R. Bindu, U. Veeresh and CH. Shashikala "Provable Multicopy Dynamic Data Possession in Cloud Computing Systems" ISSN (O): 2349-7084-Volume 3, Issue 1, January-2016.
- [17] Keerthana M M "Implementation of Block Level File Operations with the Improvement of File Security on Cloud", Vol. 5, Issue 1, January 2017.
- [18] K.Hajarathaiah ,T. Seshu Chakravarthy and G. Raphi#3 "Dynamic Operation Implementation in storage of Cloud Computing "-(IJSETR), Volume 3, Issue 3, March 2014.